UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,619	05/26/2006	Jan Boer	Boer-10-6-6-6	6190
	7590 05/06/200 N & LEWIS, LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 POST ROAD			ELPENORD, CANDAL	
SUITE 205 FAIRFIELD, C	T 06824		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,619	BOER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CANDAL ELPENORD	2416	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than 	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w	
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerati (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or 	ion and/or search (see NOTE below);
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See and the second secon	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will n how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided bel The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	not be entered, or b) 🛛 will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13, 14-24, 26-28, 30, 34, 38-41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ent reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and wa	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N See continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SE 	
13. Other:	5,00) 1 apoi 110(3)
	/Candal Elpenord/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The propsed amendment regarding claims 30, 34, "wherein the one or more parameters includes a modulation scheme and encoding rate" does not place the application in condition for allowance because the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant assertions. The Examiner asserts that the combination when considered as whole does in fact teach the Applicant claimed invention. In particular, the Aplicant alleged that the combination of Gardner '709 does not teach or suggest "wherein each of the subcarriers are active on only one of the N antennas at a given time". In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because in the Final office action, the Examiner equates the idea of a haviong one antenna transmit a long training symbol suong an even set of carrier and the other antenna using odd set of carriers to transmit the opther long training symbol. The Applicant further alleged that the combination of Gardner '709 Kadous '730 with respect to claims 7, 20, 22-24, 26-28 fails to teach "diagonly loading a signal field across the plurality fo transmit antennas and defering for the indicated duration". In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Kadous does in fact teach diagonal transmission, see paragraph 0076, Garder '709 discloses the signal field with indication with four microsecond duration, see paragraphs 0005, 0054, lines 9-12. In the response to the final office action, the Applicant points to certain sections of the specification in order to point pout the meaning of "diagonal loading" and having one "each of the subcarriers are active on only one of the N antennas at a given time". In order for the Examiner to consider the meanings of such functions, it is suggested to Applicant to incorporate the features into the claims since the claims are broadly interpreted and read in linght of the specification.